

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/773,992	VIDAL, MICHAEL A.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Robert J. Sandy	3677	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Robert J. Sandy.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Denton L. Anderson (Req. No. 30,153).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 August 2005
**Time:** 12:28 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14 and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

USPN 3,217,635 (Scavullo)

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Examiner's amendment to claim 14 to further define the claimed invention.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)